#### VOLUME 3 HIGHWAY STRUCTURES: INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE SECTION 4 ASSESSMENT

PART 6

BA 39/93

#### ASSESSMENT OF REINFORCED CONCRETE HALF-JOINTS

SUMMARY

1.

2.

Note:

This Advice Note provides guidance on the assessment of reinforced concrete half-joints in accordance with the requirements of BD 44 and BS 5400: Part 4: 1990 as implemented by BD 24.

#### **INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE**

This is a new document to be incorporated into the Manual.

Insert BA 39/93 into Volume 3 Section 4.

Archive this sheet as appropriate.

New contents pages for Volume 3 containing reference to this document are available with BD 45/93.





#### THE SCOTTISH OFFICE INDUSTRY DEPARTMENT



THE WELSH OFFICE Y SWYDDFA GYMREIG



THE DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT FOR NORTHERN IRELAND

## Assessment of Reinforced Concrete Half-joints

**Summary:** This Advice Note contains guidance on the assessment of reinforced concrete half-joints in accordance with the requirements of BD 44 and BS 5400: Part 4

half-joints in accordance with the requirements of BD 44 and BS 5400: Part 4: 1990 as implemented by BD 24.

Printed and Published by the above Overseeing Departments <sup>©</sup> Crown Copyright 1993

Price: £1.00

# Amend Page No Signature & Date of Amend Page No Signature & Date of No No incorporation of incorporation of amendments amendments

#### **REGISTRATION OF AMENDMENTS**

#### **REGISTRATION OF AMENDMENTS**

Amend	Page No	Signature & Date of	Amend	Page No	Signature & Date of
No		incorporation of amendments	No		incorporation of amendments
Amend No		signature & Date of incorporation of amendments	Amend No		Signature & Date of incorporation of amendments



### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### General

1.1 This Advice Note gives guidance on the assessment of concrete half-joints at both the ultimate and serviceability limit states. It is based on the findings of a recent research project<sup>(1)</sup> which investigated the behaviour of a range of half-joints, combining a variety of reinforcement layouts with different types of bearings.

1.2 The main problem in assessing the long term durability of half-joints is the difficulty in determining the relevant strains and crack widths. This Advice Note presents an elastic analysis for doing this which has been modified to allow for the non-linear behaviour in the region of the re-entrant corner. An explanation of the analysis is given in Appendix A together with a worked example of the method in Appendix B.

1.3 The arrangement and detailing of the reinforcement is an important factor in the performance of a half-joint. The present requirements given in BS 5400: Part 4: 1990 <sup>(2)</sup> (hereinafter referred to as Part 4) are mainly concerned with the ultimate limit state. As a result of the research by Clark<sup>(1)</sup> a need for additional reinforcement to ensure a satisfactory performance under service loading has been identified. When assessing an existing half-joint, it is therefore necessary to compare the reinforcement provided with the combined recommendations of the Advice Note and BD 44, the Assessment of Concrete Highway Bridges and Structures (DMRB 3.4).

1.4 Any reference in this Advice Note to a British Standard is to that Standard as implemented by the appropriate Departmental Standard.

#### Scope

1.5 The advice given in this document is applicable to both upper and lower reinforced concrete half-joints. It may also be applied to pre-tensioned and post-tensioned prestressed half- joints which for this purpose can be considered as reinforced concrete elements. For pre-tensioned members, the prestressing force and tendons should be ignored, but for post-tensioned members the prestressing force should be considered as an external force acting on the half-joint. 1.6 The behaviour of half-joints has been found to be influenced by the eccentricity of the reaction and the type of bearing. This Advice Note covers the use of both rigid and flexible bearings.

1.7 The criteria given in the Advice Note are intended to be used in conjunction with that given in BD 44 (DMRB 3.4). The Advice Note covers both serviceability and ultimate limit states.

#### Implementation

1.8 This Advice Note should be used in all future assessments of reinforced concrete half-joints. It should also be taken into account in assessments currently in hand unless, in the opinion of the Overseeing Department, this would result in unacceptable additional expense or delay.

#### SERVICEABILITY LIMIT STATE 2.

#### General

2.1 Although assessments are normally carried out at the ultimate limit state, for half-joints, because of durability considerations, it is advisable to check the serviceability limit state in an assessment. The serviceability criteria stipulated in Part 4 for design should, in general, be adopted for the assessment of halfjoints. However, the Technical Approval Authority may, under particular conditions of exposure, feel it necessary to modify the Part 4 requirements. Methods for determining strains and crack widths are given in this Advice Note.

2.2 Where the serviceability criteria are exceeded, inspection of the half-joint should be undertaken to confirm the condition of the joint. If there is no cracking and the load carrying capacity of the joint is adequate, more frequent future inspection may be the only course of action to be adopted. Where there is extensive cracking or spalling, it is unlikely to be practicable to strengthen the joint just to satisfy the serviceability crtieria. Repair of damaged concrete and reinforcement may also prove difficult where access is restricted. Therefore it is important that such joints are regularly inspected to monitor their performance and measures are taken to prevent water reaching the repaired sections.

2.3 Tests on half-joints have shown that cracking is generally initiated at the re-entrant corner as a result of shrinkage, and that maximum crack widths subsequently occur at this point. The overall cracking pattern which develops is dependent on the reinforcement arrangement, the eccentricity of the reaction and the type of bearing. However, for half-joints which have been adequately designed for shear, it can be assumed for the purpose of analysis, that cracking will be concentrated in a zone which extends at 45° from the re-entrant corner towards the top of the section, as shown in Figure 2.1.

#### Strains

2.4 The strain distribution assumed in a half-joint at the serviceability limit state is illustrated in Figure 2.2. It can be seen that the maximum concrete tensile strain occurs at the re-entrant corner B and the maximum compressive strain  $\epsilon_{c}$  occurs at the top surface of the member at A vertically above the line of intersection of the neutral axis with a line at 45° from the re-entrant corner. However the strain distribution in the tensile zone is non-linear and this leads to an under-estimate of the

tensile strain at the extreme fibre of the concrete at the re-entrant corner when using a linear elastic analysis. The non-linearity in the strain distribution is as a result of slip occurring between the reinforcement and the concrete. Therefore, whilst the compressive strain in the concrete and the tensile strain in the reinforcement can be determined directly from an elastic analysis, as shown in Appendix A, some modification of the extreme fibre concrete tensile strain is required. A factor  $K_1$ , derived from the tests on half-joints, is applied to the strain at the re-entrant corner,  $\in_1$ , determined by an elastic analysis, to give:

$$\epsilon' = K_1 \epsilon_1$$
 ..... (i)

where  $\epsilon'$  is the modified re-entrant corner strain and K<sub>1</sub> is taken as 2.3 when inclined reinforcement is present and 3.5 where inclined bars are omitted.

In addition to slippage some allowance must be made for the tension stiffening effect in the cracked concrete section. The complete expression for  $\epsilon'$  therefore becomes:

$$= K_1 \varepsilon_1 - K_2 bhf_t / E_s \varepsilon_s A_s \gamma_m \qquad \dots (ii)$$

where

- $K_2$ is derived from test evidence and can be taken as 0.3 x 10<sup>-3</sup>
- is the breadth of the half-joint in mm. b
- is the depth of the half-joint in mm. h
- is the modulus of rupture of the concrete,  $f_t$ taken as 0.556  $\sqrt{f_{cu}}$ , in N/mm<sup>2</sup>. is the elastic modulus of steel in N/mm<sup>2</sup>.
- E,
- is the strain at the steel level in the e, direction normal to a  $45^{\circ}$  line from the re-entrant corner, determined from an elastic analysis. (See Figure 2.2).
- $f_{cu}$ is the characteristic concrete cube strength, in N/mm<sup>2</sup>.
- is the appropriate partial safety factor for  $\gamma_{\rm m}$ material strength.
- is the effective area of steel in mm<sup>2</sup> A<sub>s</sub> normal

to a  $45^\circ$  crack from the re-entrant corner, determined from:

$$A_{s} = \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} A_{si} \cos^{2} (45 - \beta_{i}),$$

where  $A_{si}$  is the area of one layer of reinforcement at an angle  $\beta_i$  to the horizontal.

#### Crack Width

2.5 The predicted maximum crack width, w, at the re-entrant corner is taken as the lesser of the values from the following equations using the modified strain  $\epsilon'$  from equation (ii):

$$w = \sqrt{2}(a - 0.5y)\epsilon'$$
 .... (iii)

and 
$$w = 3a_{cr}\epsilon'$$
 ..... (iv)

where a

- a is the distance of the vertical reaction taken at the front edge of a rigid bearing or centre line of a flexible bearing from the re-entrant corner in mm.
- y is the dimension of the fillet in mm.
- a<sub>cr</sub> is the distance from the nearest bar to the point where the crack width is calculated in mm.

Equation (iii) is based on the average strain over a distance of  $\sqrt{2}(a - 0.5y)$  on either side of the crack, as illustrated in Figure 2.3. The crack width determined by this equation can become unrealistic for large values of a, since in such cases more than one crack will occur and the maximum crack width reduces. In these situations, the maximum crack width would be more accurately determined by assuming the element behaves as a long cantilever and using equation (iv) which is based on equation 26 of Part 4. For the purpose of this Advice Note, equation (iv) will govern when a is greater than 3  $a_{cr}/\sqrt{2} + 0.5y$ . The predicted maximum crack width should be checked against the limit stipulated in Part 4.



2/2





### 3. ULTIMATE LIMIT STATE

#### General

3.1 The strength of a half-joint for assessment of existing structures should be determined in accordance with the requirements of BD 44 (DMRB 3.4).

#### **Horizontal Forces**

3.2 When determining the horizontal forces to be resisted by the reduced section of a half-joint, as shown in Figure 7 of BD 44/90 (DMRB 3.4), consideration should be given to the additional horizontal forces that may occur at the bearing. Clause 7.2.3.4 of BD 44/90 (DMRB 3.4) lists some of the possible causes of these forces. If significant, such forces can reduce the load carrying capacity of the section by causing premature cracking over the bearing.

3.3 In the tests on half-joints where such cracks have occurred, the cause was mainly attributed to high rotation of the bearing resulting in a concentration of loading at one end. Extensive cracking was observed during tests on half-joints with soft rubber bearings. Therefore their use is not recommended for half-joints. It is important to check that the type of bearing used for half-joints is capable of accommodating the rotation at the support.

3.4 In assessing half-joints which do not contain sufficient reinforcement to resist these horizontal forces, a tensile strength of  $0.566 \sqrt{f_{cu}}/\gamma_m$  for concrete may be assumed.

3.5 For wide slabs with a number of bearing positions across the deck, consideration should be given to the lateral load distribution. Figure 3.1 illustrates a strut and tie system representing the load distribution as viewed in elevation at the end of the slab. The lateral reinforcement in the non-loaded face can be assumed to be subject to a tensile force T where,

 $T = P (b - b_w)/4d$ 

- and P is the vertical reaction at each bearing at the ultimate limit state in kN.
  - d is the effective depth to the lateral reinforcement in mm.

- b is the effective width of the slab in mm, taken as the lesser of the spacing of the bearings in the transverse direction or the width of the bearing plus 2d.
- $b_w$  is the width of the bearing in mm.

The lateral reinforcement within the length of the reduced depth section can be considered to contribute to resist T.



### 4. **REINFORCEMENT**

#### General

4.1 The arrangement of reinforcement in a half-joint contributes significantly to the behaviour of the element, particularly under service loads. Whilst certain combinations of horizontal, vertical and inclined bars will be satisfactory in ensuring an adequate ultimate load capacity, the resultant strains and crack widths under service loads may be unacceptable. It is therefore important to satisfy the necessary criteria for both serviceability and ultimate conditions to ensure the long term durability of these joints.

4.2 Where there is evidence of corrosion of reinforcement in a half-joint, allowance should be made for any loss of cross-section in assessing the strength of the element. In addition, consideration should be given to the effects due to corrosion on the fatigue life of the reinforcement and an assessment in accordance with BD 38 (DMRB 3.4) may be required.

#### **Inclined Links**

4.3 The presence of inclined links in a joint greatly improves the behaviour under service loading. Results from tests show that maximum crack widths are considerably greater for joints reinforced with only vertical links as compared to those reinforced with inclined links. Position of the link relative to the re-entrant corner also influences the crack width and links should therefore be positioned as accurately as possible. Strains and crack widths can be determined from the equations in paragraphs 2.4 and 2.5 of this Advice Note for all joints reinforced with inclined links, vertical links or a combination of the two.

#### **Horizontal Reinforcement**

4.4 Horizontal reinforcement in both top and bottom faces of the reduced section of a half-joint mitigate the effects of the concentrated load at the bearing and the effects of any applied loads. Horizontal bars provided at the bearing face of the joint help in resisting horizontal tensile stresses that may develop in the concrete at this position. The areas of reinforcement provided in a joint should be checked against the requirements of paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2 of this Advice Note. Ideally, a minimum area of secondary reinforcement in accordance with Clause

#### 5.8.4.2 of BD 44/90 (DMRB 3.4) should be present. However, where this is not the case in an existing half-joint, regular inspection should be undertaken to monitor the development of any cracks.

#### **Other Factors**

4.5 Where half-joints are part of a pre-tensioned or post-tensioned member, the requirements for the provision of reinforcement in the transmission zone or end block should be determined in accordance with BD 44 (DMRB 3.4).

### 5. REFERENCES

- 1. Clark, L. A. and Thorogood, P. Serviceability Behaviour of Reinforced Concrete Half-Joints. TRRL Contractor Report 70, 1987.
- 2. BS 5400: Steel, Concrete and Composite Bridges: Part 4. Code of Practice for Design of Concrete Bridges. BSI, 1990. *[implemented by BD 24]*
- 3. Design Manual for Roads and Bridges

Volume 1: Section 3: General Design

BD 24 The Design of Concrete Highway Bridges and Structures. Use of BS 5400: Part 4: 1990 (DMRB 1.3.1)

Volume 3: Section 4: Assessment

- BD 38 Assessment of the Fatigue Life of Corroded or Damaged Reinforcing Bars (DMRB 3.4.5)
- BD 44 The Assessment of Concrete Highway Bridges and Structures (DMRB 3.4)

### 6. ENQUIRIES

All technical enquiries or comments on this Advice Note should be sent in writing as appropriate to:-



### CALCULATION OF STRAINS BY ELASTIC ANALYSIS

Figure A.1 illustrates the elastic model from which  $\epsilon_1$ , the extreme fibre concrete tensile strain at the re-entrant corner, can be determined for any arrangement of reinforcement. It is assumed that under service loading, a half-joint of width b has a single 45° crack from the re-entrant corner extending to point 0, above which the concrete is in compression. The principal strains are assumed to be either perpendicular to the crack or perpendicular to the line of principal compression, depending on the position in the section being considered, and are proportional to the distance from the point of zero strain, point 0.

Figure A.2 shows the free body to the left of the crack and line of principal compression for the case of one layer of reinforcement with area  $A_{si}$  at an angle  $\beta_i$ . If the extreme fibre compressive strain is  $\epsilon_c$  then the strain perpendicular to the crack at the steel level is

where  $d_i$  = is the effective depth to the layer of reinforcement under consideration. The strain in the direction of the steel, resolving strains in accordance with Mohr's circle is

A better agreement with the test data is achieved by considering the strains as displacements and equation (2a) is re-written as

$$\epsilon_{si} = \epsilon_i \cos(45 - \beta_i)$$
 .....(2b)

The steel stress based on equation (2b) is

$$f_{si} = E_s \epsilon_{si}$$
 where  $E_s$  is the elastic modulus of steel and the steel force is

$$F_{si} = A_{si}f_s$$

Considering the forces acting on the free body, the horizontal component of one layer of reinforcement is

$$F_{hi} = F_{si} \cos\beta_i = A_{si} E_s \epsilon_{si} \cos\beta_i$$

The concrete force C acting at a depth of x/3 is

 $C = E_c \varepsilon_c bx/2$ , where  $E_c$  is the elastic modulus of concrete.

Therefore for n layers of reinforcement as shown in Figure A3, horizontal equilibrium is given by

$$H + C - \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{hi} = 0$$
 .....(3)

and for moment equilibrium about 0

$$R(a+h-x) + H(h-x) - C(2x/3) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{si} \sqrt{2(d_i - x)\cos(45 - \beta_i)} = 0 \qquad \dots (4)$$

#### Appendix A

where

- x is the depth to the neutral axis
- $d_i$  is the depth of  $A_{si}$  at the position of the crack
- H is the horizontal reaction
- $R \;\;$  is the vertical reaction

Equations (3) and (4) can be solved iteratively to give x and  $\epsilon_c$ .

The steel strains can be determined from equation (2b) and the extreme fibre concrete tensile strain  $\epsilon_1$  at the re-entrant corner obtained from:

 $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_c (h + 0.5y - x) \sqrt{2/x}$ 

where y is the dimension of the fillet.





### EXAMPLE OF CRACK WIDTH CALCULATION

Figure B1 shows the half-joint detail in a reinforced concrete voided slab which has been designed for 37.5 units of HB loading. The total width of the slab is 9.9m and the effective width of slab per bearing is taken as 1.1m. The main inclined reinforcement consists of T20 links in two layers giving an area per bearing in each layer of 2510mm<sup>2</sup>. T12 U-bars and links provide the horizontal and vertical reinforcement respectively giving an area per bearing in each direction of 452mm<sup>2</sup>.

#### a) Section Details

 $\begin{array}{ll} h=~710mm & n=4 \\ b=~1100mm & l_i=(d_i-x) \\ a=~305mm & Cover=35mm \\ y=~100mm \\ A_{s1}=~2510mm^2, \ \beta_1=60^\circ \\ d_1=~710+50\cdot [(35+10)/cos15^\circ] \sin 45^\circ=727mm \\ A_{s2}=~2510mm^2, \ \beta_2=60^\circ \\ d_2=~760\cdot [(100+10)/cos15^\circ] \sin 45^\circ=679mm \\ A_{s3}=~452mm^2, \ \beta_3=~0^\circ, \ d_3=~710\cdot61=649mm \\ A_{s4}=~452mm^2, \ \beta_4=~90^\circ, \ d_4=~710\cdot100=610mm \end{array}$ 

 $A_{s} = \sum_{I=1}^{3} A_{si} \cos^{2} (45 - \beta_{i}) = 5136 \text{mm}^{2}$ 

#### b) Material Properties

 $\begin{array}{l} f_{cu} = 30N/mm^2 \\ f_t = 0.556 \; \sqrt{f_{cu}} = 3.05N/mm^2 \\ E_c \; = 28kN/mm^2 \\ E_s \; = 200kN/mm^2 \end{array}$ 

c) Loading

- R = 1057kN, H = 0 (From Part 4 serviceability loading).
- d) Crack Width Analysis

4

Taking the equilibrium equations (3) and (4) in Appendix A, substitute for  $\Sigma F_{hi}$  and C in terms of  $A_{si}$ ,  $\epsilon_c$ ,  $\beta_i$ ,  $d_i$  and x. This gives

$$E_{c}\epsilon_{c} bx/2 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (A_{si}E_{s}\epsilon_{c}(d_{i} - x)\sqrt{2}cos(45 - \beta_{i})cos\beta_{i})/x = 0$$

(i)

(ii)

and

:.

$$R(a+h-x)-E_c\epsilon_c bx^2/3 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} 2.A_{si}E_s\epsilon_c(d_i-x)^2\cos^2(45-\beta_i)/x = 0$$

Evaluate  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$  () term from equation (i) for n = 4

4	
$\Sigma() = [343 x]$	$10^{\circ} \epsilon_{c}(727 - x) + 343 \times 10^{\circ} \epsilon_{c}(679 - x)$
I=1	$+90.4 \times 10^{6} \epsilon_{c} (649 - x) ] /x$
	•

Substitute in equation (i) and find x

$$E_c \varepsilon_c bx/2 - \varepsilon_c \cdot 10^6 (54.09 \ x \ 10^4 - 776.4x)/x = 0$$

 $\therefore x = 164mm$ 

Evaluate

 $\Sigma$  ( ) term from equation (ii) and substitute

for x = 164mm

:.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{7}$$
 ( ) = 356 x 10<sup>10</sup>  $\epsilon_{c}$  Substitute in equation (ii) and find  $\epsilon_{c}$ 

 $R(a + h - 164) - E_c \varepsilon_c b \ 164^2/3 - 356 \ x \ 10^{10} \ \varepsilon_c = 0$ 

 $\therefore \epsilon_{\rm c} = 2.34 \text{ x } 10^4.$ 

Determine the strain at the concrete surface  $\epsilon_1$ ,

where

$$\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_c (h + 0.5y - x) \sqrt{2} / x$$

$$\epsilon_1 = 1.2 \text{ x } 10^{-3}$$

Determine the strain at the level of the outermost reinforcement layer, n = 1 where

 $\epsilon_{s1} = \epsilon_c (d_i - x) \sqrt{2} \cos (45 - \beta_i)/x$ 

$$\epsilon_{s1} = 1.09 \text{ x } 10^{-3}$$

Determine the modified value for strain at the concrete surface, where

 $\epsilon' = K_1 \epsilon_1 - K_2 bhf_t / E_s A_s \epsilon_s \gamma_m$ 

and  $K_1 = 2.3$  for inclined reinforcement  $K_2 = 0.3 \times 10^{-3}$  $\gamma_m = 1.0$ 

 $\therefore \quad \epsilon' = 2.12 \text{ x } 10^{-3}$ 

e) The crack width is determined from the lesser of

$$w_1 = \sqrt{2(a - 0.5y)}\epsilon'$$
 or  $w_2 = 3a_{cr}\epsilon$ 

For this example  $a_{cr}$  is based on the maximum spacing between the bars of 140mm,  $a_{cr} = 73.2$ mm.  $[a_{cr} = \sqrt{(70^2 + (35+10)^2)} - 10 = 73.2$ mm]

:.  $w_1 = 0.76$ mm or  $w_2 = 0.47$ mm

Therefore the crack width is taken as 0.47mm. Permissible crack width from Table 1 of BS 5400; Part 4 is 0.25mm.

As the crack width exceeds the permissible value, inspection of the half-joint should be undertaken to confirm the condition of the joint.

